

**Urinary tract infections in healthy women
A revolution in management?**

Del Mar, Chris

Published in:
BMC Family Practice

DOI:
[10.1186/1471-2296-11-42](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2296-11-42)

Licence:
CC BY

[Link to output in Bond University research repository.](#)

Recommended citation(APA):

Del Mar, C. (2010). Urinary tract infections in healthy women: A revolution in management? *BMC Family Practice*, 11, [42]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2296-11-42>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

COMMENTARY

Open Access

Urinary tract infections in healthy women: a revolution in management?

Chris Del Mar

Abstract

Background: Urinary infection in otherwise healthy women has largely been a straightforward matter of diagnosis by identifying bacteria in the urine, and then cure by appropriate antibiotics. Recent research has shown this to be over-simplified. Evaluation of methods of self-management of symptoms has been neglected.

Discussion: Firstly trial data show that women with what used to called 'urethral syndrome' (urinary symptoms but sterile urine) obtain relief from antibiotics. Other trial data have shown a surprisingly large placebo effect from the resolution of symptoms among women who feel their care has been 'positive'. In addition, data published this month in *BMC Medicine* show that non-steroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAID) drugs provide symptom relief to women with conventional infections (positive urine bacteriology) as much as antibiotics.

Conclusions: These recent findings provide an opportunity to consider how clinicians might change practice, and sets a new research agenda. We need to know (1) whether the effect of NSAIDs is replicable; (2) why some women in previous trials have had more symptoms if not treated with antibiotics sooner; (3) whether NSAIDs and antibiotics have an additive effect on relieving symptoms; (4) how we can harness the placebo effect better to assist out patients with this distressing and common complaint.

See research article <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7015/8/30>

Introduction

Urinary infections are very common among otherwise healthy women: a very high number experience symptoms and will have sought treatment before the age of 40. Among the aetiological actors, being sexually active seems to be the most important, both before and after menopause [1-3]. Diabetes is a well-known risk factor [4]. There is little that can be done to prevent urinary infections in healthy women. Therefore most attention is focussed on treating infections as they arise.

Although asymptomatic bacteriuria is a predictor for an increased risk of subsequently experiencing symptomatic urinary infections later, there is not regarded as a strong association enough to warrant screening [4] (except in antenatal care, recurrent infections or anatomical abnormality - not under consideration here).

Diagnosis

Diagnosis has been considered straightforward in the past. The presence of bacteria on urine culture has been regarded as the gold standard. There has been discussion about the use of urine dipsticks (particularly with strips for testing for nitrites and leukocyte esterase) to guide treatment before results of cultures are returned. Urine dipsticks are useful for ruling out disease [5,6], and there has been a good argument for treating otherwise healthy women with antibiotics empirically if dipsticks are positive [5].

If there were no bacteria found on culture, an infective cause for the symptoms seemed unlikely. This led to the generation of a new term for 'culture-negative symptoms of urinary frequency, urgency and dysuria', called 'urethral syndrome', regarded as difficult to treat [7].

Conventional treatment

Conventional treatment has principally focussed on eradicating bacterial causes. This seems on first consideration to be entirely logical: the urine is normally sterile, and symptoms of infection (frequency, and dysuria especially)

* Correspondence: cdelmar@bond.edu.au

¹ School of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Bond University, Queensland 4229, Australia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

are relieved after the bacteria have been cleared. Most organisms (and *Escherichia coli* among the faecal bacteria by far the most common) are responsive to cheap and available antibiotics.

Consequently, the focus of interest in the medical literature has been on selecting the appropriate antibiotic, the tension existing between ensuring the likelihood of effectiveness of the antibiotic, and minimising antibiotic resistance in the community.

This approach has been turned on its head by the surprising finding of a randomised controlled trial (RCT) in the recent past of women with symptoms of urinary infection, but negative findings for bacteria in the urine [8]. The RCT found benefit for symptoms with antibiotic over no antibiotics - even when conventional wisdom had held that they were not infected by bacteria (but had what might have been called 'urethral syndrome' in the past) [8].

On the other side of the coin, women with urinary infections randomised to delay taking antibiotics by two days suffer only about a day or two more of symptoms [9]. In other words, we can think of UTI as a spontaneously remitting disease, if we use symptoms (rather than sterility of the urine[10]) as the end-point, which is accelerated by the use of antibiotics.

A prognosis study has shown that the psychological state of the woman is important - those with 'somatisation' (exaggerated feelings of symptoms) are likely to overcome their symptoms slower, while those who perceived the doctor to be positive about quickly overcoming symptoms recovered faster [9].

Like so much in medicine, the arena is messy, with many factors influencing recovery.

One thing is clear: whether treatment should be aimed at eliminating bacteria from the urine or not, certainly treatment of the symptoms warrants attention.

The evidence

This is then a fertile time to think about using symptoms control rather than 'bacterial eradication' as an approach.

Urinary alkalinisers self management

It has been traditional to use urinary alkalinisers in the past as symptomatic relief (by the simple expedient of taking sodium or potassium bicarbonate or other alkaline by mouth) [11]. This is remarkably poorly evaluated in the medical literature [12]. An observational study found symptom severity within each woman's illness was not associated with increases in urinary pH, suggesting alkalinising the urine is unlikely to reduce symptoms [12]. A factorial randomised trial which randomised yielded no difference to those randomised to advice to use bicarbonate or not [5].

Cranberry juice self management

Although cranberry juice is a well used 'alternative and complementary medicine' for urinary tract infection, and there is weak and contradictory evidence it might act as a *preventive* agent [13], there is insufficient good quality trial evidence to support its use as a *treatment* [14]. One good RCT has been published recently to suggest that it is not [5].

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAIDs) drugs

These are commonly used for pain and inflammatory conditions, and, although NSAIDs have adverse effects, they are regarded as sufficiently safe to be available in most jurisdictions over-the-counter without prescription.

The pragmatic trial published this month in *BMC Medicine* by Bleidorn and colleagues [15] randomised antimicrobial treatment against symptomatic treatment (in the form of a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug) for urinary symptoms. The treatments were equivalent not just in the outcome of symptoms (which might have been predicted), but in the outcome of subsequent urinary infections. Why is this revolutionary? Because it turns on its head the notion that we should regard urinary infections as primarily an infectious process that needs sterilisation of the urine to effect resolution.

It used to be thought that urinary infections were sufficiently unusual and bizarre to require extermination of the bacterial intruder. However two things have altered this approach. Firstly we recognise that some people (especially the elderly) often have bacteriuria with no ill effect, including no symptoms: treating them with antibiotics achieves only bacterial resistance. More recently we have come to realise that women who have urinary symptoms but no evidence of bacterial infection detected on urinary dip-stick - women who would have accordingly been denied antibiotics using traditional treatments - benefit symptomatically from antibiotics [8]. Guidelines have adjusted to this in some quarters[16] (but not all[17]).

Conclusions

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Authors' information

CDM is professor of primary care research at Bond University, Australia. He is also the coordinating editor of the Cochrane Collaboration's acute respiratory infection group.

Author Details

School of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Bond University, Queensland 4229, Australia

Received: 15 April 2010 Accepted: 26 May 2010

Published: 26 May 2010

References

- Hooton TM, Scholes D, Hughes JP, Winter C, Roberts PL, Stapleton AE, Stergachis A, Stamm WE: **A prospective study of risk factors for symptomatic urinary tract infection in young women.** *N Engl J Med* 1996, **335**:468-474.
- Moore EE, Hawes SE, Scholes D, Boyko EJ, Hughes JP, Fihn SD: **Sexual intercourse and risk of symptomatic urinary tract infection in post-menopausal women.** *J Gen Intern Med* 2008, **23**:595-599.
- Krieger JN: **Urinary tract infections what's new?** *J Urol* 2002, **168**:2351-2358.
- Jackson SL, Boyko EJ, Scholes D, Abraham L, Gupta K, Fihn SD: **Predictors of urinary tract infection after menopause a prospective study.** *Am J Med* 2004, **117**:903-911.
- Little P, Turner S, Rumsby K, Warner G, Moore M, Lowes JA, Smith H, Hawke C, Turner D, Leydon GM, Arscott A, Mullee M: **Dipsticks and diagnostic algorithms in urinary tract infection development and validation, randomised trial, economic analysis, observational cohort and qualitative study.** *Health Technol Assess* 2009, **13**:iii-iv, ix-xi, 1-73.
- Deville WL, Yzermans JC, van Duijn NP, Bezemer PD, Windt DA van der, Bouter LM: **The urine dipstick test useful to rule out infections. A meta-analysis of the accuracy.** *BMC Urol* 2004, **4**:4.
- Editorial: **Urethral syndrome.** *BMJ* 1968, **2**:192.
- Richards D, Toop L, Chambers S, Fletcher L: **Response to antibiotics of women with symptoms of urinary tract infection but negative dipstick urine test results double blind randomised controlled trial.** *BMJ* 2005, **331**:143.
- Little P, Merriman R, Turner S, Rumsby K, Warner G, Lowes JA, Smith H, Hawke C, Leydon G, Mullee M, Moore MV: **Presentation, pattern, and natural course of severe symptoms, and role of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance among patients presenting with suspected uncomplicated urinary tract infection in primary care observational study.** *BMJ* 2010, **340**:b5633.
- Arav-Boger R, Leibovici L, Danon YL: **Urinary tract infections with low and high colony counts in young women. Spontaneous remission and single-dose vs multiple-day treatment.** *Arch Intern Med* 1994, **154**:300-304.
- Therapeutic Guidelines** 2009 [<http://www.tg.org.au/index.php?sectionid=1>]. Therapeutic Guidelines Limited ed. Melbourne 7 Mar 2010
- Brumfitt W, Hamilton-Miller JM, Cooper J, Raeburn A: **Relationship of urinary pH to symptoms of 'cystitis'.** *Postgrad Med J* 1990, **66**:727-729.
- Jepson RG, Craig JC: **Cranberries for preventing urinary tract infections.** *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008:CD001321.
- Jepson RG, Mihaljevic L, Craig J: **Cranberries for treating urinary tract infections.** *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000:CD001322.
- Bleidorn J, Gagyor I, Kochen M, Wegscheider K, Hummers-Pradie E: **Symptomatic treatment (ibuprofen) or antibiotics (ciprofloxacin) for uncomplicated urinary tract infection? - Results of a randomized controlled pilot trial.** *BMC Medicine* 2010, **8**:30.
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN): **88: Management of suspected bacterial urinary tract infection in adults.** 2006 [<http://www.sign.ac.uk/pdf/sign88.pdf>]. (accessed 5 Apr 2010)
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG): **Treatment of urinary tract infections in nonpregnant women (ACOG practice bulletin; no. 91).** 2008 [http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=12628&nbr=006536&string=urinary+AND+infection]. (accessed 5 April 2010)
- Fowler G: **The role of the primary health care team.** *J R Soc Med* 1986, **79**:633-636.
- Stott NC: **William Pickles Lecture 1993. When something is good, more of the same is not always better.** *Br J Gen Pract* 1993, **43**:254-258.
- Del Mar C, Glasziou P: **Upper respiratory tract infection.** *Clin Evid* 2004, **11**:1956-1965.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2296/11/42/prepub>

doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-11-42

Cite this article as: Del Mar, Urinary tract infections in healthy women: a revolution in management? *BMC Family Practice* 2010, **11**:42

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

